

PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT OF NOISE
EXPOSURE AMONG MACHINE OPERATORS
AND NON-MACHINE OPERATORS IN
CONSTRUCTION SITES IN PERAK,
MALAYSIA

KEE HENG YIK

BACHELOR OF OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY
AND HEALTH WITH HONORS

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Occupational Safety and Health.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : NUR SYAFIQAH BT. FAUZAN

Position : LECTURER

Date : 18 JANUARY 2019



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : KEE HENG YIK

ID Number : PA15040

Date : 18 JANUARY 2019

PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT OF NOISE EXPOSURE AMONG MACHINE
OPERATORS AND NON-MACHINE OPERATORS ON CONSTRUCTION SITES
IN PERAK, MALAYSIA

KEE HENG YIK

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
BACHELOR OF OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH WITH HONORS

Faculty of Engineering Technology
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JANUARY 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my project supervisor, Ms. Nur Syafiqah Binti Fauzan for her invaluable responsive guidance, continuous encouragement, discerning advices, opinions and consistent support. I am grateful and thankful for her assistance from the initial to the final level of project with her tolerance and patience all the time to overcome my problems and provide inspirations and practical suggestions in this project.

Furthermore, I am immensely grateful to all the course mates, who having similar final year project (FYP) topic with me are willingly to share ideas, resources and suggestion throughout my project.

Moreover, I would like to show great appreciation to the Faculty of Engineering Technology and the selected construction site in supporting and giving cooperation with me in terms of documentation and other necessary assistance for my thesis completion.

Last but not least, special thanks go to my lovely parents for their endless caring and fully support all the time.

ABSTRAK

Bunyi bising pembinaan adalah salah satu masalah pencemaran bunyi serious di mana setiap projek pembinaan pasti mempunyai masalah pendedahan bunyi bising. Di Malaysia, laporan statistik menyatakan bahawa tapak pembinaan mempunyai pendedahan yang tinggi terhadap beberapa isu terutamanya pendedahan terhadap bunyi bising di mana pendedahan kepada bunyi bising disenaraikan sebagai kedudukan 2 dengan frekuensi 134 tapak pembinaan daripada 140 tapak pembinaan. Laporan telah menunjukkan bahawa bahaya kebisingan merupakan salah satu punca utama terjadinya kemalangan dalam industri pembinaan kerana bunyi bising menjejaskan keselamatan dan kesihatan dalam kalangan pekerja pembinaan. Hal ini demikian, bunyi bising ada isu yang serious dalam kalangan industri pembangunan di Malaysia manakala impak pendengaran bunyi bising perlu dijalankan dalam kajian ini. Kajian ini menumpukan perhatian dalam mengenalpasti tahap pendedahan seseorang terhadap bunyi dan kelaziman simptom kesan kesihatan psikologi dalam kalangan pekerja pengendalian mesin dan bukan pengendalian mesin di tapak pembinaan Perak. Enam puluh satu pekerja pembinaan dipilih sebagai responden dan dikategorikan kepada kumpulan pengendalian mesin dan kumpulan bukan pengendalian mesin. Semua responden telah dihendaki untuk memasang dosimeter bunyi peribadi semasa waktu kerja selama 8 jam untuk mendapatkan data mengenai tahap pendedahan bunyi bising dalam kalangan pekerja. Untuk kelaziman simptom kesan kesihatan psikologi akibat pendedahan bunyi bising, soal selidik dan sesi soal jawab dijalankan dalam kalangan pekerja pembinaan yang terpilih untuk tujuan pengumpulan data. Kajian ini mendedahkan bahawa tahap pendedahan bunyi dalam kalangan pekerja adalah tinggi di mana purata tahap pendedahan untuk kumpulan pengendalian mesin (81.81dBA) jauh lebih tinggi berbanding dengan purata tahap pendedahan bunyi bising untuk kumpulan bukan pengendalian mesin (74.71dBA) melalui analisis. Selanjutnya, kelaziman simptom kesan kesihatan psikologi adalah tinggi dalam kalangan pekerja di mana 93.5% pengendali mesin dan 43.3% pengendali bukan mesin berasa ketegangan (tension) apabila bekerja dalam suasana kerja yang bising. Melalui analisis, hasil menunjukkan terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan di mana p-value kurang dari 0.05 antara pengendali mesin dan pengendali bukan mesin untuk beberapa kelaziman simptom kesan kesihatan psikologi di mana pengendali mesin mempunyai kelaziman yang lebih tinggi berbanding dengan pengendali bukan mesin. Akhir sekali, kajian menunjukkan bahawa terdapat hubungan yang signifikan dan positif antara tahap pendedahan bunyi bising dan kelaziman untuk sebahagian simptom kesan kesihatan psikologi di mana sakit telinga (p-value=0.011), perubahan ketara dalam pendengaran (p-value=0.007), and pening (p-value=0.016) dalam kalangan pengendali mesin manakala kehilangan pendengaran secara tiba-tiba (p-value=0.047), kemarahan dan agresif (p-value=0.027), pening (p-value=0.050), tekanan (p-value=0.031) dan ketegangan (p-value=0.028) di tempat kerja yang bising untuk pengendali bukan mesin di tapak pembinaan.

ABSTRACT

Noise from the construction activities is one of the severe issue of noise pollution where there must be noise pollution produced at every construction projects. In Malaysia, previous statistical report has stated that the construction sites have high exposure of several hazards especially the noise hazard where noise hazard was ranked 2 with frequency 134 out of 140 sites. The previous reports reveal that the noise hazard is one of the main causes of the occurrence of accidents in construction industry as noise hazard affect the safety and health among the construction workers. As the noise hazard is reported as serious issue among the construction industry in Malaysia, an impact of noise exposure need to be conducted in this study. This study is mainly focus in identifying the personal noise exposure level and the prevalence of psychological health effect symptoms among the machine operators and non-machine operators on construction site in Perak. Sixty-one construction workers were selected as respondents and categorized into machine operators group and non-machine operators group. All respondents were monitored for 8 hours working time using personal noise dosimeter for the personal noise monitoring. For the prevalence of psychological health effect symptoms due to noise exposure, questionnaires and interview sessions were done among the selected construction workers. The result obtained reveals that the personal noise exposure level among the construction workers is high where the mean of personal noise exposure level for machine operators group (81.81dBA) is significantly higher compared to the mean of personal noise exposure level for non-machine operators group (74.71dBA). Besides, the prevalence of psychological health effect symptoms is high among the construction workers where 93.5% of machine operators and 43.3% of non-machine operators feel tension when working in noisy work environment. Through the independent chi-square test, results with the p-value less than 0.05 show that there was significant differences between the machine operators and non-machine operators for several prevalence of psychological health effect symptoms where machine operators has significantly higher prevalence compare to non-machine operators. Lastly, result obtained through Binary Logistic Regression, it reveals that there is significant and positive coefficient between the personal noise exposure level and the prevalence of several psychological health effect symptoms on ear pain (p-value=0.011), noticeable change in hearing (p-value=0.007), and dizziness (p-value=0.016) for machine operators and sudden hearing loss (p-value=0.047), anger and aggressiveness (p-value=0.027), dizziness (p-value=0.050), stressful (p-value=0.031) and tension (p-value=0.028) in noisy work environment for non-machine operators in construction site.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS **ii**

ABSTRAK **iii**

ABSTRACT **iv**

TABLE OF CONTENT **v**

LIST OF TABLES **x**

LIST OF FIGURES **xi**

LIST OF SYMBOLS **xii**

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS **xiii**

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION **1**

1.1 Introduction 1

1.2 Background of Study 1

1.3 Conceptual Framework 3

1.4 Problem Statement 5

1.5 Research Objectives 7

1.6 Research Question 7

1.7 Research Hypothesis 8

1.8 Significance of Study 8

1.9 Scope of Study 9

1.10 Study Ethics 10

1.11 Operational Definition 10

1.11.1	Noise	10
1.11.2	Machine Operation	10
1.11.3	Non-machine Operation	10
1.11.4	Personal Noise Exposure	11
1.11.5	Psychological Health Effects	11
1.12	Summary	11
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW		12
2.1	Introduction	12
2.2	Background of Noise	12
2.3	Sources of Noise in Construction Industry	13
2.4	Legislation Related to Noise Exposure	14
2.5	Mechanism of Hearing Damage	17
2.6	Adverse Effects of Noise Exposure	19
2.6.1	Effects on Daily Activities	19
2.6.2	Psychological Health Effects of Noise Exposure	21
2.6.3	Physical Effects of Noise Exposure	27
2.7	Effect of Noise Exposure on Construction Workers	27
2.8	Summary	29
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		30
3.1	Introduction	30
3.2	Study Design	30
3.3	Sampling	30
3.3.1	Population and Sampling	30
3.3.2	Sampling Frame	32

3.3.3	Sampling Method	32
3.4	Data Collection	33
3.4.1	Walk-through Observation	34
3.4.2	Personal Noise Monitoring	35
3.4.3	Questionnaire	37
3.4.4	Interview Session	37
3.5	Data Analysis	38
3.5.1	Larson Davis Blaze Software	38
3.5.2	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS)	38
3.6	Summary	39
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		40
4.1	Introduction	40
4.2	Demographic Information of Respondents	40
4.2.1	Gender	40
4.2.2	Age	41
4.2.3	Job Task	41
4.2.4	Specific Task and the Types of Machinery or Equipment Used	42
4.2.5	Types of Machinery or Equipment Used	43
4.2.6	Duration of Employment	45
4.3	Occupational Background – Exposure of Noise	45
4.4	Health History	48
4.5	Personal Noise Monitoring	49
4.5.1	Comparison of Personal Noise Exposure Level between Machine Operators and Non-Machine Operators	51
4.6	Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms	52

4.6.1	Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms of Machine Operators	52
4.6.2	Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms of Non-Machine Operators	54
4.6.3	Comparison of Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms Between Machine Operators and Non-Machine Operators	55
4.7	Relationship between Personal Noise Exposure Level and Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms	57
4.7.1	Relationship between Personal Noise Exposure Level and Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms among Machine Operators	57
4.7.2	Relationship between Personal Noise Exposure Level and Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms among Non-Machine Operators	59
4.8	Summary	60
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		61
5.1	Introduction	61
5.2	Conclusion	61
5.3	Recommendation	63
5.3.1	Recommendation for Selected Construction Site	63
5.3.2	Recommendation for Future Research Study	64
5.4	Study Limitation	65
REFERENCES		66
APPENDIX A – CONSENT FORM		74
APPENDIX B – PARTICIPANT INFORMATION SHEET		75
APPENDIX C – WALK-THROUGH OBSERVATION CHECKLIST		76

APPENDIX D - QUESTIONNAIRE	77
APPENDIX E – GANTT CHART (FYP 1)	83
APPENDIX F – GANTT CHART (FYP 2)	84
APPENDIX G – STUDY LOCATION	85
APPENDIX H – RELATED PHOTO	86

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Permissible Noise Exposure listed in First Schedule of Regulation 5(1) under FMA (Noise Exposure) Regulation 1989	15
Table 2.2 Conversion from Noise Dose to 8 hours TWA noise level	16
Table 2.3 Permissible Noise Exposure by U.S. OSHA	17
Table 2.4 Extent to which the threshold of a person exceeds normal hearing, and impact the communication abilities with quality of life	20
Table 2.5 Statistics of Occupational Disease & Poisoning by Type of Disease 2018	22
Table 4.1 Gender of 61 construction workers	41
Table 4.2 Age of 61 construction workers	41
Table 4.3 Job task of 61 construction workers	42
Table 4.4 Specific of tasks for 61 construction workers	42
Table 4.5 Types of machinery or equipment used for 61 construction workers	44
Table 4.6 Duration of employment of 61 construction workers	45
Table 4.7 Occupational circumstances on noise exposure	46
Table 4.8 Severity of noise exposure among construction workers	46
Table 4.9 Period of noise exposure among construction workers	47
Table 4.10 Frequency of use of hearing protection devices	47
Table 4.11 Noisy hobby among construction workers	48
Table 4.12 Health history among construction workers	49
Table 4.13 Minimum, Maximum, Means and Standard Deviation of Personal Noise Monitoring	51
Table 4.14 Comparison of Personal Noise Exposure Level between Machine Operators and Non-Machine Operators	51
Table 4.16 Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms of Machine Operators	53
Table 4.17 Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms of Non-Machine Operators	54
Table 4.18 Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms Between Machine Operators and Non-Machine Operators	56
Table 4.19 Relationship between Personal Noise Exposure Level and Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms among Machine Operators	58
Table 4.20 Relationship between Personal Noise Exposure Level and Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms among Non-Machine Operators	60

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Conceptual Framework	4
Figure 2.1 Structure of Human Ears. Peter et al. (1997)	17
Figure 2.2 Structure of Organ of Corti of Ear. Chauhan (2015)	18
Figure 2.3 Noise Effects Reaction Scheme. Münzel et al. (2014)	25
Figure 3.1 Procedure of Collecting of Data	34
Figure 3.2 Personal Noise Dosimeter	36
Figure 3.3 Flowchart of Personal Noise Monitoring	36
Figure 4.1 8 Hours TWA Personal Noise Monitoring for Machine Operators	50
Figure 4.2 8 Hours TWA Personal Noise Monitoring for Non-Machine Operators	50
Figure 4.3 Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms of Machine Operators	53
Figure 4.4 Prevalence of Psychological Health Effect Symptoms of Non-Machine Operators	55

LIST OF SYMBOLS

dba	A-Weighted Decibels
dBHL	Decibel Hearing Level
df	Degree of Freedom
mmHg	Millimeters of Mercury as in a Blood Pressure Reading
Hz	Hertz
TWA	A Time-weighted Average

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DOSH	Department of Occupational Safety and Health
NHIS	National Health Interview Survey
NIHL	Noise-Induced Hearing Loss
NIOSH	National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
PEL	Permissible Exposure Limit
REL	Recommended Exposure Limit
SOCSSO	Social Security Organization
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
TTS	Temporary Threshold Shift
UMP	Universiti Malaysia Pahang
WHO	World Health Organization

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Throughout this chapter, the general ideas about this study will be highlighted. This chapter includes background of study, conceptual framework, problem statement, objectives, research question, hypothesis, significance of study, scope of study, study ethics, and the operational definition.

1.2 Background of Study

Sound is defined as the propagation of pressure waves radiating through an elastic medium from a vibration source (Chauhan, 2015). According to NIOSH, the noise is defined as any unwarranted disturbance within a useful frequency band (Concha-Barrientos et al., 2004). Generally, noise is classified into occupational noise or environmental noise where in all human daily activities either day time or night time, noise always presents and gives impact towards human well-being.

Noise then is described as common occupational hazard in most of the workplaces especially the iron and steel industry, manufacture industry and construction industry (Gerges et al., 1992). The construction activity is categorized as one of the sources of noise pollution where the noise is unwanted sound which might be unnoticed and lead to psychological and physical health effect such as stress towards the people work or live near the construction site (Baba et al., 2011).

Nowadays, noise is one of the main pollutants around the world that could impacts the human working and living environment (Kantová, 2017). The impacts towards human such as anger and aggressiveness, headaches, tension, inadequate sleep, and several

diseases on human body system. The human has tried to protect themselves against high noise exposure level through both of material and legislation actions.

The occupational hearing loss issue is increasing day-to-day among most of the people that exposed to noise at their workplaces. Exposure towards excessive noise for in long term, repeatedly exposure to noise and single exposure towards extremely intense noise will cause serious damage to the auditory system of human and this results in hearing loss, termed noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) (Peter S. Roland et al., 1997). The noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) usually slow in onset but will progress relentlessly as long as the exposure continues on the people and irreversible.

Due to the rapid industrialization and urbanization, construction industry has become more and more concern in Malaysia. The construction activities have led to several hazards especially the noise hazard where the noise hazard has become a common and serious source of environmental noise that gives harm to human's health (Liu et al., 2017).

The construction industry is described as a major element of economic force in Malaysia where it also be categorized as one of the most hazardous industry. As the construction industry normally generates noise hazard, this causes most of the construction workers to work under overexposed risk of noise (Said et al., 2014). According to the Social Security Organization (SOCSO) reports 2016, the construction industry in Malaysia had the accident cases reported that was 7338 cases, the third highest number of cases reported industry among all other industries such as trading, transportation and storage (Social Security Organization, 2016).

Moreover, the construction site is always a noisy workplace no matter what kind of precautions are taken. A regular 8 hours exposures to 85dBA noise exposure level could damage the hearing system. The higher the noise exposure level, the faster the hearing loss. As most of the equipment on construction site are above 85dBA noise exposure level such as Jackhammer with 100dBA, and hammer drill with 115dBA, the construction workers are high risk towards the Noise-Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL) or other psychological health effect (Lydia Baugh, 2016).

In this study, the mainly focus issue is to identify the personal noise exposure and the prevalence of psychological health effect symptoms among machine operators and non-machine operators on construction site in Perak, Malaysia. This is a cross sectional study by which simple random sampling method is used in choosing 31 machine operators and 30 non-machine operators from the selected construction site. A validated questionnaire about respondents' demographic information, occupational background related on noise exposure, prevalence of psychological health effect symptoms and health history is designed to identify the effects on workers' health due to noise exposure. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) is required to use for data entry and statistical analyses of data. The Personal Noise Dosimeter helps in identifying the personal noise exposure level among the construction workers.

1.3 Conceptual Framework

Figure 1.1 shows the conceptual framework for this study which intended to study the noise exposure in the construction industry.

The conceptual framework was then contributed the ideology towards the factors which cause the physical effect such as injury due to accident related to hearing ability and the psychological health effects such as noise-induced hearing loss, anger and aggressiveness, tinnitus, psychological stress, tension and difficulty in concentrating.

REFERENCES

- AdventistHealth. (n.d.). *OSHA Recordable Questionnaire*. Retrieved from https://www.adventisthealth.org/nw/Documents/Audiology/osha_recordable_questionnaire.pdf
- Ali, S. A. (2011). A case study of construction noise exposure for preserving worker's hearing in Egypt. *Acoustical Science and Technology*, 32(5), 211–215. <https://doi.org/10.1250/ast.32.211>
- Assunta, C., Ilaria, S., Simone, D. S., Gianfranco, T., Teodorico, C., Carmina, S., ... Valeria, R. M. (2015). Noise and cardiovascular effects in workers of the sanitary fixtures industry. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 218(1), 163–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2014.09.007>
- Attarchi, M., Denghan, F., Safakhah, F., Nojomi, M., & Mohammadi, S. (2012). Effect of Exposure to Occupational Noise and Shift Working on Blood Pressure in Rubber Manufacturing Company Workers. *Industrial Health*, 50(3), 205–213. <https://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.MS1321>
- Ayessaki, W. Y., & Smallwood, J. (2015). The impact of noise on construction workers in South Africa. *Eppm*, 442–448.
- Baba, I., Md Ali, Z., Bakar, A., Ashraf, N., & Ramly, M. Z. (2011). Determination of noise exposure level at construction area. *Proceeding of International Seminar on Application of Science Mathematics*, 1(3), 1–3.
- Basner, M., Babisch, W., Davis, A., Brink, M., Clark, C., Janssen, S., & Stansfeld, S. (2014). Auditory and non-auditory effects of noise on health. *The Lancet*, 383(9925), 1325–1332. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)61613-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61613-X)
- Bhosale, D. (2017). Noise Pollution in Construction Industry & its adverse effects on construction workers, (8), 447–449.
- Chadambuka, A., Mususa, F., & Muteti, S. (2013). Prevalence of noise induced hearing loss among employees at a mining industry in Zimbabwe. *African Health Sciences*, 13(4), 899–906. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v13i4.6>

- Chauhan, M. (2015). Noise Induced Hearing Loss in a Steel Rolling Mill Company in Nairobi , Kenya.
- Ciorba, A., Pelucchi, S., & Pastore, A. (2012). The impact of hearing loss on the quality of life of elderly adults. *Dovepress*, 7, 159–163. <https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S26059>
- Concha-Barrientos, M., Campbell-Lendrum, D., & Steenland, K. (2004). Occupational Noise : Assessubf the Burden of Disease from Work-related Hearing Impairmenr at National and Local Levels. *Environmental Burden of Disease Series, No. 9*.
- Cordeiro, R., Clemente, A. P. G., Diniz, C. S., & Dias, A. (2005). Occupational noise as a risk factor for work-related injuries. *Revista de Saúde Pública*, 39(3), 461–466. <https://doi.org/S0034-89102005000300018>
- CPWR. (2007). Noise-Induced Hearing Loss in Construction. *The Construction Chart Book 4th Edition, 4th Editio*(Section 42), 1–5.
- CPWR. (2012). Noise-Induced Hearing Loss in Construction and Other Industries, 53(6), 2011–2012.
- De Souza, T. C. F., Périssé, A. R. S., & Moura, M. (2015). Noise exposure and hypertension: Investigation of a silent relationship Environmental and occupational health. *BMC Public Health*, 15(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-1671-z>
- Dewey, R. S. (2017). Modern life is damaging our ears – probably more than we realise Author, 2–5.
- DOSH. (2018). *Statistics of Occupational Disease and Poisoning by Type of Disease 2018*.
- Fernández, M. D., Quintana, S., Chavarría, N., & Ballesteros, J. A. (2009). Noise exposure of workers of the construction sector. *Applied Acoustics*, 70(5), 753–760. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apacoust.2008.07.014>
- Gerges, S. N. Y., Sehrndt, G. A., & Parthey, W. (1992). Noise Sources, (Pfeiffer), 103–124.

- Government of Malaysia. (2014). Factories & Machinery (Noise Exposure) Regulation 1989. In *Factories and Machinery Act 1967* (pp. 451–470). Damansara Perdana City: Member of the Malaysian Book Publisher Association.
- Government of Malaysia. (2015). *Occupational Safety and Health Act 1994*. Damansara Perdana City: Member of the Malaysian Book Publisher Association.
- Halperin, D. (2014). Environmental noise and sleep disturbances: A threat to health? *Sleep Science*, 7(4), 209–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.slsci.2014.11.003>
- Hamid, A. R. A., Yusuf, W. Z. W., & Singh, B. (2003). Hazards at construction sites. *Proceedings of the 5th Asia-Pacific Structural Engineering and Construction Conference (APSEC 2003)*, (August), 26–28.
- Hammersen, F., Niemann, H., & Hoebel, J. (2016). Environmental noise annoyance and mental health in adults: Findings from the cross-sectional German health update (GEDA) study 2012. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 13(10), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13100954>
- Hanidza, T. I. T., Jan, A. A. M., Abdullah, R., & Ariff, M. (2013). A Preliminary Study of Noise Exposure among Grass Cutting Workers in Malaysia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 91, 661–672. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.08.467>
- Haron, Z., Abidin, M. Z., Lim, M. H., Yahya, K., Jahya, Z., Mohd Said, K., & Saim, A. A. (2013). Noise Exposure among Machine Operators on Construction Sites in South Johor, Malaysia. *Advanced Materials Research*, 838–841(October), 2507–2512. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.838-841.2507>
- Harrison, R. V. (2008). Noise-induced hearing loss in children: A “less than silent” environmental danger. *Paediatrics and Child Health*, 13(5), 377–382. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-1021766>.
- Hong, O. S. (2005). Hearing loss among operating engineers in American construction industry. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 78(7), 565–574. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-005-0623-9>

- Hong, O. S., Kerr, M. J., Poling, G. L., & Dhar, S. (2013). Understanding and preventing noise-induced hearing loss. *Disease-a-Month*, 59(4), 110–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disamonth.2013.01.002>
- Ighoroje, a D. a, Marchie, C., & Nwobodo, E. D. (2004). Noise-Induced Hearing Impairment As an Occupational Risk Factor Among Nigerian Traders. *Nigerian Journal of Physiological Sciences*, 19(1–2), 14–19. <https://doi.org/10.4314/njps.v19i1.32630>
- Ishak, Baba Ismail, Bahari Mohd Jailani, M. N. A. M. M. (2005). *Noise Exposure in Wood Base Industries-Moulding and Saw Mill, Proceeding of Seminar on Advanced in Malaysian Noise Vibration and Comfort (NVC 2005)*.
- Ismaila, S. O., & Odusote, A. (2014). Noise exposure as a factor in the increase of blood pressure of workers in a sack manufacturing industry. *Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 3(2), 116–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjbas.2014.05.004>
- Jaafar, N. I., Md Daud, M. K., Mohammad, I., & Abd Rahman, N. (2017). Noise-induced hearing loss in grass-trimming workers. *Egyptian Journal of Ear, Nose, Throat and Allied Sciences*, 18(3), 227–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejenta.2017.07.002>
- Jakovljević, B., Belojević, G., Paunović, K., & Stojanov, V. (2006). Road traffic noise and sleep disturbances in an urban population: cross-sectional study. *Croatian Medical Journal*, 47(1), 125–133.
- Jones, D. M., Chapman, A. J., & Auburn, T. C. (1981). Noise in the environment: A social perspective. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 1(1), 43–59. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-4944\(81\)80017-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-4944(81)80017-5)
- Kantová, R. (2017). Construction Machines as a Source of Construction noise. *Procedia Engineering*, 190, 92–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.05.312>
- Kirchner, D. B., Evenson, E., Dobie, R. A., Rabinowitz, P., Crawford, J., Kopke, R., & Hudson, T. W. (2012). Occupational noise-induced hearing loss. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 54(1), 106–108. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0b013e318242677d>

- LAAD, M. (2011). The Study of the Effect of Sounds of Constant Frequency and Varying Intensity Levels on Systolic Blood Pressure , Diastolic Blood Pressure and Heart Rate of Healthy Individuals. *Annals of Faculty Engineering Hunedoara - International Journal of Engineering Tome IX*, 107–109.
- Lewkowski, K. (2017). Noise exposure in Australian workplaces : uncovering the causes of occupational hearing loss.
- Li, X., Song, Z., Wang, T., Zheng, Y., & Ning, X. (2016). Health impacts of construction noise on workers: A quantitative assessment model based on exposure measurement. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 135, 721–731. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.06.100>
- Lie, A., Skogstad, M., Johannessen, H. A., Tynes, T., Mehlum, I. S., Nordby, K. C., ... Tambs, K. (2016). Occupational noise exposure and hearing: a systematic review. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 89(3), 351–372. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-015-1083-5>
- Liu, Y., Xia, B., Cui, C., & Skitmore, M. (2017). Community response to construction noise in three central cities of Zhejiang province, China. *Environmental Pollution*, 230, 1009–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.07.058>
- Lopes, A. C., Nelli, M. P., Roberto, J., Lauris, P., Amorim, R. B., Dolores, A., & Melo, P. (2009). Conditions of Auditory Health at Work : Inquiry of the Auditory Effects in Workers Exposed to Occupational Noise, 13, 49–54.
- Lundälv, J. (2004). Self-reported experiences of incidents and injury events in traffic among hearing impaired people as pedestrians and cyclists. A follow-up study of mobility and use of hearing equipment. *International Journal of Rehabilitation Research*, 27(1), 79–80.
- Lydia Baugh. (2016). Noise-Induced Hearing Loss In Construction, 1–10. Retrieved from <https://safetyequipment.org/knowledge-center-items/noise-induced-hearing-loss-construction/>
- Mansoor, K., & Musto, F. (2017). Effects of Occupational Noise Exposure on Blood Pressure and Other Aspects of Health for Workers in Selected Industrial Places in

- Zakho City , Iraq. *Science Journal of University of Zakho*, 5(1), 136–141.
- Masterson, E. A., Bushnell, P. T., Themann, C. L., Morata, T. C., & Report, M. W. (2016). Workers ' Memorial Day — Hearing Impairment Among Noise- Exposed Workers — United States ., *Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 65(15), 389–405. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.22565/epdf>
- Mokhtar, M., Kamaruddin, S., A. Khan, Z., & Mallick, Z. (2007). A Study On The Effects Of Noise On Industrial Workers In Malaysia. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 46(1). <https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v46.279>
- Münzel, T., Gori, T., Babisch, W., & Basner, M. (2014). Cardiovascular effects of environmental noise exposure. *European Heart Journal*, 35(13), 829–836. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehu030>
- Nelson, D. I., Nelson, R. Y., Concha-Barrientos, M., & Fingerhut, M. (2005). The global burden of occupational noise-induced hearing loss. *Am J Ind Med*, 48(6), 446–458. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.20223>
- NIOSH. (1998). Occupational Noise Exposure Revised Criteria 1998. *Education and Information Division National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health*, (CRITERIA FOR A RECOMMENDED STANDARD). <https://doi.org/DHHS> (NIOSH) Publication No. 98–126
- Norusis, M. (2011). IBM SPSS Statistics 20 Brief Guide. *IBM Corporation*, 1–170.
- Oliveira, R. C., Santos, J. N., Rabelo, A. T. V., & Magalhães, M. de C. (2015). The impact of noise exposure on workers in Mobile Support Units. *CoDAS*, 27(3), 215–222. <https://doi.org/10.1590/2317-1782/20152014136>
- Peter S. Roland, William L. Meyerhoff, Bradley F. M. (1997). Hearing Loss, 328.
- Rahim, A., Hamid, A., Zaimi, M., Majid, A., & Singh, B. (2008). Causes of Accidents At Construction Sites. *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 20(202), 242–259.
- Ramirez, J. M., Alvarado, J. M., & Santisteban, C. (2004). Individual differences in anger reaction to noise. *Individual Differences Research*, 2(2), 125–136.

- Rong, T. X., Hassim, M. H., & Halim, M. Z. B. A. (2017). Noise induced hearing loss (NIHL) effect among workers in small medium enterprises (SME) in Malaysia. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 56, 1741–1746. <https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1756291>
- Said, K. M., Haron, Z., A., A. S., Abidin, M. Z., Yahya, K., & Han, L. M. (2014). Occupational Noise Exposure Among Road Construction Workers. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 70(7). <https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v70.3571>
- Shrestha, A., & Shiqi, M. (2017). Occupational Noise Exposure in Relation to Hypertension: A Cross-sectional Study in the Steel Factory. *Occupational Medicine & Health Affairs*, 05(03), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-6879.1000266>
- Social Security Organization. (2016). *SOCSSO Annual Report 2016. SOCSSO*.
- Spark ® ATEX Noise Dosimeters and Blaze ® Software Technical Reference Manual. (2016).
- Stansfeld, S. A., & Matheson, M. P. (2003). Noise pollution: Non-auditory effects on health. *British Medical Bulletin*, 68, 243–257. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldg033>
- Suter, A. (2002). *Hearing Conservation Manual. 4th ed.* (4th ed.). Milwaukee: Council for Accreditation in Occupational Hearing Conservation.
- Ta Yuan, C., Ruei Man, J., Chiu Sen, W., & Chang Chuan, C. (2003). Effects of Occupational Noise Exposure on Blood Pressure. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 45(12), 1289–1296. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.jom.0000100003.59731.3d>
- University of Melbourne. (2015). *Noise Hazard Identification Form*. Melbourne. Retrieved from https://safety.unimelb.edu.au/__data/assets/word_doc/0009/1716750/noise-hazard-identification-form.docx

- Van Kamp, I., & Davies, H. (2008). Environmental noise and mental health: Five year review and future directions. *Noise as a Public Health Problem. Proceedings of 9th Congress of the International Commission on the Biological Effects of Noise (ICBEN)*, 295–301. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.6335>
- Verma, J., & Samis, S. (2011). Noise and Health. *HealthcarePapers*, 11(1), 3–5. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5037.2012.00571.x>
- WorkSafeNB. (2014). Noise Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL) Working Together to Prevent Hearing Loss.